

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,020	11/05/2003	Hisato Tokoro	Q78314	6504
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/31/2007 MION, PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	CLAN THI
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/701,020	TOKORO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u> </u>	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 1/22/nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This nce this application is in condition for allowar osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition	of Claims		,			
4a 5)⊠ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrave aim(s) 10-14 is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
10)∭ Th Ar Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)			
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f References Cited (F10-592) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/701,020

Art Unit: 1742

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6, 7, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,120. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because every limitation recited in the claims of the instant application is disclosed in the claims of the patent except for the carbon and nitrogen contents, however the amounts of carbon and nitrogen in the arc segment and ring magnets claimed in the patent are expected to or inherently be the same as the claims of the instant application, see U.S. Patent No. 6,635,120, col. 7, 1, 20-33.
- 3. Applicant's arguments that the orientation of $Br/4\pi I_{max}$ recited in claim 1 and $Br/\!/\!/(Br/\!/+Br\perp)$ are not inherent because $Br/\!/$ is not inherent, see page 11, line 10 to page 12, filed 1/22/07, with respect to claims 1-9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Furthermore Table 1 and Table 4 of the instant specification show that these values are

Art Unit: 1742

depend on the method of making, namely the use of the combination of mineral oil, synthetic oil, or vegetable oil and nonionic surfactant and/or anionic surfactant. The combination of the oil and surfactant is not taught by the prior art is the basis for the production of arc segment or ring magnets to have high values of orientation. The rejection of these claims has been withdrawn.

- 4. The amendment filed 1/22/07 and 2/9/07 proposes amendments to amendments original patent claims more than once that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.
- 5. Applicant is notified that any subsequent amendment to the specification and/or claims must comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b). In addition, when any substantive amendment is filed in the reissue application, which amendment otherwise places the reissue application in condition for allowance, a supplemental oath/declaration will be required. See MPEP § 1414.01.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,020

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit 1742

n.m.